

Council on Postsecondary Education
February 3, 2003

Kentucky Plan for Equal Opportunities 2003 Degree Program Eligibility

More publicly supported institutions have qualified, based on the degree of success in enrolling, retaining, and hiring African Americans, for the most favorable category of eligibility for new degree programs in 2003 (18 of 37 compared to 16 of 37 in 2002). Six universities, down from seven last year, are automatically eligible to add new degree programs; one university received the quantitative waiver status and one university received the qualitative waiver status. The technical colleges' performance is relatively unchanged. Only one qualified for the automatic status while five qualified for the quantitative waiver (5 compared to 3 the previous year); the number of institutions falling into the least desirable category remained the same (9 of 15). Overall, community colleges improved their performance. Eleven of 14 institutions received automatic status, while two qualified for the quantitative waiver and only one for the qualitative waiver.

The annual assessment is part of the Council on Postsecondary Education's monitoring of progress under *The 1997-2002 Kentucky Plan for Equal Opportunities*, which promotes compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964.

Northern Kentucky University showed progress on all eight objectives evaluated. Kentucky State University also showed progress on all seven of its objectives. Among the universities, four improved their performance from the previous year and four saw a decline in their performance.

Among the community colleges, 10 of the 13 KCTCS community colleges, and Lexington Community College, are automatically eligible to propose new degree programs. Institutions showing progress on all four objectives include Hopkinsville, Jefferson, and Paducah Community Colleges. Prestonsburg made progress on only one objective. Three colleges require a waiver to add new degree programs. Among the 14 community colleges, five improved their performance (Hazard, Hopkinsville, Madisonville, Maysville, and Owensboro), nine performed at the same level, while the performance of two (Ashland and Elizabethtown) declined.

Of the 15 technical colleges one institution - Northern Kentucky Tech - qualified for automatic status while the other 14 technical colleges will need a waiver to add new degree programs. Three institutions failed to make progress

on any of the four objectives (Ashland, Owensboro, and Rowan). Among the 15 technical colleges, one improved its performance (Northern Kentucky Tech), the performance of 10 is unchanged, and the performance of four declined (Ashland, Bowling Green, Jefferson, and Rowan).

**SUMMARY OF DEGREE PROGRAM ELIGIBILITY STATUS
CALENDAR YEAR 2003**

Eligibility Category	Universities		Community Colleges		Technical Colleges		Total	
	2003	2002	2003	2002	2003	2002	2003	2002
Automatic	6	7	11	8	1	2	18	17
Quantitative Waiver	1	0	2	4	5	3	8	7
Qualitative Waiver	1	0	1	0	9	9	11	9
Not Eligible	0	1	0	2	0	1	0	4
Total	8	8	14	14	15	15	37	37

INSTITUTIONAL DEGREE PROGRAM ELIGIBILITY CALENDAR YEAR 2003

The eligibility status of the institutions is determined through the application of the administrative regulation (13 KAR 2:060). The status of each institution:

UNIVERSITIES

Institution	Objectives Showing Continuous Progress	Total Objectives Evaluated	Degree Program Eligibility Status	
			2003	2002
Eastern Kentucky Univ.	4	8	<i>Qualitative</i>	<i>Automatic</i>
Kentucky State Univ.	7	7	<i>Automatic</i>	<i>Automatic</i>
Morehead State Univ.	7	8	<i>Automatic</i>	<i>Automatic</i>
Murray State Univ.	6	8	<i>Automatic</i>	<i>Not Eligible</i>
Northern Kentucky Univ.	8	8	<i>Automatic</i>	<i>Automatic</i>
Univ. of Kentucky	6	8	<i>Automatic</i>	<i>Automatic</i>
Univ. of Louisville	5	8	<i>Quantitative</i>	<i>Automatic</i>
Western Kentucky Univ.	6	8	<i>Automatic</i>	<i>Automatic</i>

Notes:

Universities (except Kentucky State University) have eight equal opportunity objectives. Kentucky State University has seven objectives (the objective related to Enrollment of Graduate Students does not apply to KSU).

Automatic eligibility equals continuous progress in at least 6 of 8 objectives. KSU is at least 5 of 7 objectives.

Quantitative waiver equals continuous progress in 5 of 8 objectives. New degree programs must be implemented under the waiver provisions during calendar year 2003. KSU is 4 of 7 objectives.

Qualitative waiver equals continuous progress in 4 or fewer of 8 objectives. New degree programs must be implemented under the waiver provisions during calendar year 2003. KSU is 3 or fewer of 7 objectives.

**INSTITUTIONAL DEGREE PROGRAM ELIGIBILITY
CALENDAR YEAR 2003**

The eligibility status of the institutions is determined through the application of the administrative regulation (13 KAR 2:060). The status of each institution:

COMMUNITY COLLEGES

Institution	Objectives Showing Continuous Progress	Total Objectives Evaluated	Degree Program Eligibility Status	
KCTCS			2003	2002
Ashland CC	3	4	Automatic	Not Eligible
Elizabethtown CC	3	4	Automatic	Automatic
Hazard CC	3	4	Automatic	Quantitative
Henderson CC	3	4	Automatic	Automatic
Hopkinsville CC	4	4	Automatic	Quantitative
Jefferson CC	4	4	Automatic	Automatic
Madisonville CC	3	4	Automatic	Automatic
Maysville CC	2	4	Quantitative	Quantitative
Owensboro CC	3	4	Automatic	Not Eligible
Paducah CC	4	4	Automatic	Automatic
Prestonsburg CC	1	4	Qualitative	Automatic
Somerset CC	3	4	Automatic	Automatic
Southeast CC	2	4	Quantitative	Quantitative
University of Kentucky				
Lexington CC	3	4	Automatic	Automatic
Notes:				
Community colleges have four equal opportunity objectives.				
Automatic eligibility equals continuous progress in at least 3 of 4 objectives.				
Quantitative waiver equals continuous progress in 2 of 4 objectives. New degree programs must be implemented under the waiver provisions during the 2003 calendar year.				
Qualitative waiver equals continuous progress in 0 or 1 of 4 objectives. New degree programs must be implemented under the waiver provisions during the 2003 calendar year.				

INSTITUTIONAL DEGREE PROGRAM ELIGIBILITY CALENDAR YEAR 2003

The eligibility status of the institutions is determined through the application of the administrative regulation (13 KAR 2:060). The status of each institution:

TECHNICAL COLLEGES

Institution	Objectives Showing Continuous Progress	Total Objectives Evaluated	Degree Program Eligibility Status	
			2003	2002
KCTCS				
Ashland TC	0	4	<i>Qualitative</i>	<i>Qualitative</i>
Bowling Green TC	2	4	<i>Quantitative</i>	<i>Automatic</i>
Central Kentucky TC	2	4	<i>Quantitative</i>	<i>Quantitative</i>
Cumberland Valley TC	1	4	<i>Qualitative</i>	<i>Qualitative</i>
Elizabethtown TC	1	4	<i>Qualitative</i>	<i>Qualitative</i>
Hazard TC	1	4	<i>Qualitative</i>	<i>Qualitative</i>
Jefferson TC	2	4	<i>Quantitative</i>	<i>Automatic</i>
Laurel TC	1	4	<i>Qualitative</i>	<i>Qualitative</i>
Madisonville TC	2	4	<i>Quantitative</i>	<i>Quantitative</i>
Mayo TC	1	4	<i>Qualitative</i>	<i>Qualitative</i>
Northern Kentucky TC	3	4	<i>Automatic</i>	<i>Not Eligible</i>
Owensboro TC	0	4	<i>Qualitative</i>	<i>Qualitative</i>
Rowan TC	0	4	<i>Qualitative</i>	<i>Qualitative</i>
Somerset TC	1	4	<i>Qualitative</i>	<i>Qualitative</i>
West Kentucky TC	2	4	<i>Quantitative</i>	<i>Quantitative</i>
<p>Notes:</p> <p>Technical colleges have four equal opportunity objectives.</p> <p>Automatic eligibility equals continuous progress in at least 3 of 4 objectives.</p> <p>Quantitative waiver equals continuous progress in 2 of 4 objectives. New degree programs must be implemented under the waiver provisions during the 2003 calendar year.</p> <p>Qualitative waiver equals continuous progress in 0 or 1 of 4 objectives. New degree programs must be implemented under the waiver provisions during the 2003 calendar year.</p>				